

Tabled Abduction in Logic Programs

Ari Saptawijaya, Luís Moniz Pereira

Centro de Inteligência Artificial (CENTRIA)
Departamento de Informática
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia
Universidade Nova de Lisboa

ICLP 2013
Istanbul, 27 August 2013

Abductive Logic Programming

- ▶ Abduction: from observed evidence to its best explanation
- ▶ Abduction in Logic Programs
 - ▶ Rules:
 - ▶ *shoes_wet* ← *grass_wet*.
 - ▶ *grass_wet* ← *sprinkler_running*.
 - ▶ *grass_wet* ← *rained*.
 - ▶ *clothes_wet* ← *rained*.
 - ▶ *clothes_dry*.
 - ▶ **IC:** *false* ← *clothes_wet*, *clothes_dry*.
 - ▶ Abducibles: *sprinkler_running*, *rained*.
 - ▶ Query: ?- *shoes_wet*, not *false*.
 - ▶ Abductive solutions: *sprinkler_running*
- ▶ Applications: diagnosis, decision making, reasoning of rational agents, ...

Tabled Abduction: Motivation & Main Idea

$$P_1 : \quad q \leftarrow a. \quad r \leftarrow b, q. \quad p \leftarrow r, q.$$

- ▶ Abducibles: $\{a, b\}$
- ▶ Query: $?- q. \quad ?- r. \quad ?- p.$
 - ▶ Explaining q : $[a]$.
 - ▶ Explaining r : recompute q ?
 - ▶ Explaining p : recompute r and q ?
- ▶ Adopt tabling in LP, for abductive solution reuse
 - ▶ Solutions reuse in distinct context!
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ $?-q$: table $[a]$ as solution to q .

Goal	Solutions
q	$[a]$

Tabled Abduction: Motivation & Main Idea

$$P_1 : \quad q \leftarrow a. \quad r \leftarrow b, q. \quad p \leftarrow r, q.$$

- ▶ Abducibles: $\{a, b\}$
- ▶ Query: $?- q. \quad ?- r. \quad ?- p.$
 - ▶ Explaining q : $[a]$.
 - ▶ Explaining r : recompute q ?
 - ▶ Explaining p : recompute r and q ?
- ▶ Adopt tabling in LP, for abductive solution reuse
 - ▶ Solutions reuse in distinct context!
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ $?-q$: table $[a]$ as solution to q .
 - ▶ $?-r$: reuse solution q with context $[b]$, but

Goal	Solutions
q	$[a]$
r	$[a, b]$

Tabled Abduction: Motivation & Main Idea

$P_1 : \quad q \leftarrow a. \quad r \leftarrow b, q. \quad p \leftarrow r, q.$

- ▶ Abducibles: $\{a, b\}$
- ▶ Query: $?- q. \quad ?- r. \quad ?- p.$
 - ▶ Explaining q : $[a]$.
 - ▶ Explaining r : recompute q ?
 - ▶ Explaining p : recompute r and q ?
- ▶ Adopt tabling in LP, for abductive solution reuse
 - ▶ Solutions reuse in distinct context!
- ▶ Example
 - ▶ $?-q$: table $[a]$ as solution to q .
 - ▶ $?-r$: reuse solution q with context $[b]$, but
 - ▶ $?-p$: reuse solution q with r 's solution ($[a, b]$) as its context.

Goal	Solutions
q	$[a]$
r	$[a, b]$
p	$[a, b]$

Program Transformation: Tabling Solutions

- ▶ Table abductive solution entry
 - ▶ XSB-Prolog tabling
- ▶ P_1 : $q \leftarrow a. \quad r \leftarrow b, q. \quad p \leftarrow r, q.$
 - ▶ Table $q^{ab}/1$, $r^{ab}/1$, and $p^{ab}/1$

$$q^{ab}([a]).$$

$$r^{ab}(E) \leftarrow q([b], E).$$

$$p^{ab}(E) \leftarrow r([], T), q(T, E).$$

- ▶ Re-uptake context-independent solution E from “ ab ” tables into different input contexts I

$$q(I, O) \leftarrow q^{ab}(E), \text{prod}(O, I, E).$$

$$r(I, O) \leftarrow r^{ab}(E), \text{prod}(O, I, E).$$

$$p(I, O) \leftarrow p^{ab}(E), \text{prod}(O, I, E).$$

- ▶ $\text{prod}/3$: produces consistent abduction result in O

Program Transformation: Dealing with “not”

- ▶ P_2 : $p \leftarrow a, \text{not } q.$ $q \leftarrow a, b.$ $q \leftarrow c.$
- ▶ Abductive solutions of *not* q
 - ▶ Needs to compute *all* abductive solutions for q , before negating them,
- ▶ Dual rules for negation, via dual transformation¹
 - ▶ Produce negation rules from the positive ones.
 - ▶ Find solutions *incrementally*.
 - ▶ Replace default literal *not* q by *not* _{q} :
$$p^{ab}(E) \leftarrow \text{not_}q([a], E).$$
 - ▶ Provide dual rules, e.g., for *not* _{q}

$$\text{not_}q(I, O) \leftarrow \text{not_}q_1(I, T), \text{not_}q_2(T, O).$$

$$\text{not_}q_1(I, O) \leftarrow \text{not_}a(I, O).$$

$$\text{not_}q_1(I, O) \leftarrow \text{not_}b(I, O).$$

$$\text{not_}q_2(I, O) \leftarrow \text{not_}c(I, O).$$

¹J. J. Alferes, L. M. Pereira, and T. Swift. Abduction in well-founded semantics and generalized stable models

Other Aspects

- ▶ Dual transformation for programs with variables.
- ▶ Dealing with loops (i.e., positive loops and loops over negation) in the presence of abduction.
 - ▶ Employs XSB tabling as much as possible, e.g., for positive loops.
 - ▶ Pragmatic approaches to deal with positive loops in dualized negation (e.g., $not_q \leftarrow not_q$) and negative loops over negation.
- ▶ Implementation:
 - ▶ Transformation of predicates comprised just of facts.
 - ▶ Lazy by-need dual transformation by storing dual rules in a trie (available in XSB), in lieu of batched table scheduling.
- ▶ Evaluation: benefit of tabling abductive solutions, variants of dual transformation, tabling nogoods of subproblems, programs with loops.

Conclusions and Future Work

- ▶ Addressed the issue of tabling abductive solutions.
 - ▶ Abductive solution reuse from one context to others.
 - ▶ Abduction under negative goals with dual transformation.
 - ▶ Various pragmatics to deal with loops and dual transformation.
- ▶ Future work:
 - ▶ Answer subsumption on abductive solutions.
 - ▶ Integrating TABDUAL with program updates (EVOLP/R) and other logic programming features.
 - ▶ Application to abductive moral decision making and others.

Thank you!